

T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体检测在腹水鉴别诊断中的价值

张卫国, 童强, 王强, 王小虎, 李胜保

■背景资料

腹水检测对疾病的判断有着非常重要的意义。目前, 大多数医院仍然采用一般性状态、生化、细胞及细菌检查等传统检测手段。对于鉴别感染性与非感染性腹水方面的敏感性、特异性等远远不能满足临床的需要。需要寻找新的检测方法而流式细胞仪检测相当准确、快捷, 有必要在这方面进行探讨。

张卫国, 童强, 王强, 王小虎, 李胜保, 郟阳医学院附属太和医院消化内科 湖北省十堰市 442000

通讯作者: 童强, 442000, 湖北省十堰市, 郟阳医学院附属太和医院消化内科. zwg789@sina.com
电话: 0719-8801430

收稿日期: 2006-12-20 接受日期: 2007-04-02

Value of T lymphocyte subset determination and DNA ploidy analysis for differential diagnosis of ascites

Wei-Guo Zhang, Qiang Tong, Qiang Wang, Xiao-Hu Wang, Sheng-Bao Li

Wei-Guo Zhang, Qiang Tong, Qiang Wang, Xiao-Hu Wang, Sheng-Bao Li, Department of Gastroenterology, the Affiliated Taihe Hospital of Yunyang Medical College, Shiyan 442000, Hubei Province, China

Correspondence to: Wei-Guo Zhang, Department of Gastroenterology, the Affiliated Taihe Hospital of Yunyang Medical College, Shiyan 442000, Hubei Province, China. zwg789@sina.com

Received: 2006-12-20 Accepted: 2007-04-02

Abstract

AIM: To investigate the value of T lymphocyte subset determination and DNA ploidy analysis for differential diagnosis of ascites.

METHODS: In 74 cases of ascites, 24 with tuberculous peritonitis, 21 with liver cirrhosis and 29 with carcinomatous ascites, T lymphocyte subsets and DNA ploidy in ascitic fluid were detected by flow cytometry.

RESULTS: In descending order of T lymphocyte (CD3⁺), helper/inducer T lymphocyte (CD4⁺), and helper T lymphocyte/suppressor T lymphocyte (CD4⁺/CD8⁺) in ascitic fluid, tuberculous peritonitis (CD3⁺: 86.2% ± 5.1%, CD4⁺: 64.3% ± 6.4%, CD4⁺/CD8⁺: 3.20% ± 0.30%), carcinomatous ascites (65.7% ± 4.6%, 32.5% ± 2.2%, 1.04% ± 0.11%) and liver cirrhosis (15.1% ± 2.7%, 3.6% ± 0.5%, 0.36% ± 0.05%) ($P < 0.01$) were determined. In ascending order, CD8⁺, liver cirrhosis (10.1% ± 3.2%), tuberculous peritonitis (20.1% ± 4.3%) and carcinomatous ascites (31.3% ± 5.2%) ($P < 0.01$) were determined. The positive rate from

DNA ploidy analysis in carcinomatous ascites (89.7%, 26/29) was significantly higher than that in tuberculous peritonitis (4.2%, 1/21) or liver cirrhosis (4.7%, 1/27) ($P < 0.01$).

CONCLUSION: T lymphocyte subsets and DNA ploidy in ascitic fluid show obvious differences among patients with tuberculous peritonitis, liver cirrhosis and carcinomatous ascites. T lymphocyte subset determination and DNA ploidy analysis are thus useful for the differential diagnosis of ascites.

Key Words: T lymphocyte subsets; DNA ploidy; Ascites; Flow cytometry; Differential diagnosis

Zhang WG, Tong Q, Wang Q, Wang XH, Li SB. Value of T lymphocyte subset determination and DNA ploidy analysis for differential diagnosis of ascites. *Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi* 2007;15(17):1972-1975

摘要

目的: 探讨T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体检测在腹水鉴别诊断中的价值。

方法: 腹水患者74例, 其中结核性腹膜炎24例, 肝硬化21例, 癌性腹水29例, 流式细胞仪测定腹水T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体。

结果: 腹水中T淋巴细胞(CD3⁺)、T辅助/诱导细胞亚群(CD4⁺)、T辅助细胞亚群/T抑制细胞亚群(CD4⁺/CD8⁺)所占比例从大到小依次为结核性腹膜炎(CD3⁺: 86.2% ± 5.1%, CD4⁺: 64.3% ± 6.4%, CD4⁺/CD8⁺: 3.20% ± 0.30%)、癌性腹水(65.7% ± 4.6%, 32.5% ± 2.2%, 1.04% ± 0.11%)、肝硬化腹水(15.1% ± 2.7%, 3.6% ± 0.5%, 0.36% ± 0.05%)(三组间 $P < 0.01$), CD8⁺比例从小到大依次为肝硬化腹水(10.1% ± 3.2%)、结核性腹膜炎(20.1% ± 4.3%)、癌性腹水(31.3% ± 5.2%)(三组间 $P < 0.01$)。腹水DNA倍体阳性率癌性腹水达89.7%(26/29), 与结核性腹膜炎4.2%(1/24)和肝硬化4.7%(1/21)具有显著性差异($P < 0.01$)。

结论: T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体在结核性

腹膜炎、肝硬化及癌性腹水存在显著差异, 其检测可用于腹水的鉴别诊断.

关键词: T淋巴细胞亚群; DNA倍体; 腹水; 流式细胞; 鉴别诊断

张卫国, 童强, 王强, 王小虎, 李胜保. T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体检测在腹水鉴别诊断中的价值. 世界华人消化杂志 2007;15(17):1972-1975

<http://www.wjgnet.com/1009-3079/15/1972.asp>

0 引言

腹水可由多种疾病产生, 腹水检查在其病因诊断中具有重要意义. 传统检测手段包括一般性状、生化、细胞及细菌检查, 近年肿瘤标志物、细胞因子等检测也逐渐步入临床^[1-11], 由于敏感性、特异性、操作复杂程度的原因, 目前的检测方法仍不能满足临床的需要. 本文采用流式细胞仪对几种不同病因腹水中T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体进行检测, 探讨其在腹水鉴别诊断中的价值.

1 材料和方法

1.1 材料 74例腹水患者为我院2001-01/2006-04住院患者, 其中结核性腹膜炎24例, 男14例, 女10例, 平均年龄36岁; 肝炎后肝硬化21例, 男12例, 女9例, 平均年龄38岁; 癌性腹水29例, 男17例, 女12例, 平均年龄41岁; 癌性腹水中胃癌14例; 结肠癌10例; 卵巢癌3例; 胰腺癌2例. 流式细胞仪为Beckman coulter公司Epics XL型号. 荧光mAb CD3-FITC、CD4-FITC、CD8-PE和红细胞溶解剂(IMMUNO PREPTM A)、白细胞稳定剂(IMMUNO PREPTM B)、细胞膜固定剂(IMMUNO PREPTM C)均购自法国Immunothch公司.

1.2 方法

收集3组患者肝素抗凝的新鲜腹水100 mL, 2000 r/min离心5 min, 弃上清, PBS洗涤, 制备成细胞悬液, 调整细胞数为 10^6 /mL. 取100 μ L细胞悬液, 加入CD3-FITC、CD4-FITC、CD8-PE, 室温避光20 min, 分别加入IMMUNO PREPTM A、IMMUNO PREPTM B、IMMUNO PREPTM C, 置入流式细胞仪检测, 并用SYSTEM II TM software处理数据, 测定腹水T淋巴细胞亚群. 另取100 μ L细胞悬液, 按试剂盒说明进行溶血、固定、PI染色, 置入流式细胞仪检测, 以腹水中二倍体DNA含量细胞为内标, 分析样品

细胞的DNA指数(DNA index, DI)、增殖指数(proliferation index, PI)和S期细胞百分比(S phase fraction, SPF). $DI = \text{肿瘤细胞G0/G1峰道数} / \text{正常二倍体细胞G0/G1期峰道数}$. $DI < 0.9$ 或 $DI > 1.1$ 可判为异倍体, 且按照国际标准DNA异倍体必须在组方图上出现两个相分离的峰.

统计学处理 数据以均数 \pm 标准差(mean \pm SD)表示, 统计学处理采用方差分析及 q 检验.

2 讨论

2.1 不同病因腹水T淋巴细胞亚群变化 结核性腹膜炎、癌性腹水及肝硬化患者腹水CD3⁺(T淋巴细胞)、CD4⁺(T辅助/诱导细胞亚群)、CD8⁺(T抑制/毒性细胞亚群)、CD4⁺/CD8⁺均存在显著差异($P < 0.01$), CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4⁺/CD8⁺由高到低依次为结核性腹膜炎>癌性腹水>肝硬化腹水($P < 0.01$), CD8⁺由高到低依次为癌性腹水>结核性腹膜炎>肝硬化腹水($P < 0.01$), 肝硬化腹水CD4⁺/CD8⁺明显倒置(表1).

2.2 不同病因腹水DNA倍体结果 24例结核性腹膜炎和21例肝硬化患者中各有1例腹水DNA倍体为阳性, 阳性率分别为4.2%和4.7%, 而29例癌性腹水26例呈阳性, 阳性率达89.7%, 与前二组比较具有显著性差异($P < 0.01$).

3 讨论

腹水的病因在临床上以肝硬化、结核性腹膜炎和癌性腹水最为常见^[12-22], 常需联合多种检测手段方能确诊, 甚至试验性治疗来进行鉴别诊断, 而腹水的直接检测对病因的诊断至关重要. 我们采用流式细胞仪对腹水的T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体进行检测, 发现肝硬化腹水CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD8⁺、CD4⁺/CD8⁺较结核性腹膜炎和癌性腹水显著低下, 且CD4⁺/CD8⁺明显倒置, 3种腹水中CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4⁺/CD8⁺以结核性腹膜炎最高, 癌性腹水居中, 而CD8⁺则以癌性腹水最高, 结核性腹膜炎次之. 腹水T淋巴细胞亚群反应了腹腔局部的免疫功能, T淋巴细胞由CD4⁺和CD8⁺两大亚群组成^[23], CD4⁺主要介导细胞和体液免疫, CD8⁺则参与抗病毒、移植排斥反应及对非己抗原诱发的免疫应答的抑制^[24-28], 免疫功能的改变往往敏感地表现在CD4⁺/CD8⁺比值改变上, CD4⁺/CD8⁺比值的下降被认为是疾病严重和预后不良的重要指标之一. 我们的研究提示, (1)肝硬化患者腹腔局部的免疫功能明显低下, 这可能是患者易并发自发性腹膜炎的一个原

■同行评价

本文采用流式细胞仪对几种不同病因腹水中T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体进行检测, 探讨其在腹水鉴别诊断中的价值. 具有一定的临床意义. 具有一定的创新性.

表 1 不同病因腹水T淋巴细胞亚群变化(mean ± SD)

分组	CD3 ⁺ (%)	CD4 ⁺ (%)	CD8 ⁺ (%)	CD4 ⁺ /CD8 ⁺
结核性腹膜炎	86.2 ± 5.1	64.3 ± 6.4	20.1 ± 4.3	3.20 ± 0.30
癌性腹水	65.7 ± 4.6	32.5 ± 2.2	31.3 ± 5.2	1.04 ± 0.11
肝硬化	15.1 ± 2.7	3.6 ± 0.5	10.1 ± 3.2	0.36 ± 0.05

三组之间比较 $P < 0.01$.

因; (2)结核性腹膜炎患者腹膜腔局部免疫力增强. 当结核杆菌感染时, 首先致敏T细胞, 当机体再次受到结核杆菌或抗原物质入侵时与致敏的T细胞互相作用, 释放出一系列细胞因子(IL-1、TNF等)及黏附分子(P-选择素等), 使得淋巴细胞、单核细胞定向腹膜腔内募集, 聚集于结核杆菌或抗原所在部位周围; (3)癌性腹水中的CD8⁺增高. 机体对肿瘤细胞的免疫监控过程中, 抗原提呈细胞将肿瘤抗原有效地提呈给T淋巴细胞, 激活肿瘤抗原特异性细胞毒性T淋巴细胞(CTL)是抗肿瘤免疫的关键环节^[29-30], CD8⁺增高致CD4⁺/CD8⁺降低, 降低了患者局部对肿瘤的免疫力, 可导致肿瘤细胞不断增殖与扩散.

正常细胞DNA倍体为二倍体, 具有较恒定的DNA含量, 而细胞癌变过程中DNA含量和(或)染色体结构异常是较普遍的, 尤其是分化程度很低的恶性肿瘤更为常见, 并以DNA指数的形式表现出来, 出现非整倍体细胞峰. 联合DNA倍体检测更有助于将癌性腹水鉴别开来, 本研究中癌性腹水DNA倍体阳性率达89.7%, 结核性腹膜炎、肝硬化患者腹水阳性率不足5%. 同时研究发现, 有些恶性腹水DNA倍体出现假阴性结果, 可能是: (1)原发肿瘤为二倍体肿瘤, 且转移灶与原发灶有同源性; (2)少量恶性细胞的异倍体峰被大量组织细胞所形成的二倍体细胞峰掩盖; (3)少量的染色体变异不能被流式细胞仪所识别; (4)大量间皮细胞稀释恶性细胞, 导致异倍体峰缺如; (5)染色体的机械缺失和复制中的错误相平衡, 而表现为二倍体. 另外良性腹水DNA倍体出现假阴性结果可能是因为细胞破坏、叠连而不能被流式细胞仪所识别, 反应性间皮细胞增生、染色体一过性畸变和数据分析也可造成假阳性结果.

我们的研究表明, T淋巴细胞亚群和DNA倍体在结核性腹膜炎、肝硬化及癌性腹水存在显著差异, 其检测可用于腹水的鉴别诊断, 而流式细胞仪检测又相当准确、快捷, 值得推广.

参考文献

- Sharma SK, Tahir M, Mohan A, Smith-Rohrberg D, Mishra HK, Pandey RM. Diagnostic accuracy of ascitic fluid IFN-gamma and adenosine deaminase assays in the diagnosis of tuberculous ascites. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 2006; 26: 484-488
- Appalaneni V, Yellinedi S, Baumann MA. Diagnosis of malignant ascites in prostate cancer by measurement of prostate specific antigen. *Am J Med Sci* 2004; 327: 262-263
- Arroyo V. Pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment of ascites in cirrhosis. *Ann Hepatol* 2002; 1: 72-79
- Tuncer K, Akarca US, Aktas EO, Ilter T, Musoglu A. Detection of a foreign body during laparoscopy for differential diagnosis in a patient with ascites. *Endoscopy* 2004; 36: 92
- Liatsos C, Hadjileontiadis LJ, Mavrogiannis C, Patch D, Panas SM, Burroughs AK. Bowel sounds analysis: a novel noninvasive method for diagnosis of small-volume ascites. *Dig Dis Sci* 2003; 48: 1630-1636
- Sari R, Yildirim B, Sevinc A, Bahceci F, Hilmioglu F. The importance of serum and ascites fluid alpha-fetoprotein, carcinoembryonic antigen, CA 19-9, and CA 15-3 levels in differential diagnosis of ascites etiology. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2001; 48: 1616-1621
- Dittrich S, Yordi LM, de Mattos AA. The value of serum-ascites albumin gradient for the determination of portal hypertension in the diagnosis of ascites. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2001; 48: 166-168
- Buyukberber M, Koruk M, Savas MC, Gulsen MT, Pehlivan Y, Deveci R, Sevinc A, Gergerlioglu S. Leptin levels in the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant ascites. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; 13: 398-402
- Li CP, Huang TS, Chao Y, Chang FY, Whang-Peng J, Lee SD. Advantages of assaying telomerase activity in ascites for diagnosis of digestive tract malignancies. *World J Gastroenterol* 2004; 10: 2468-2471
- Campisi C, Bellini C, Eretta C, Zilli A, da Rin E, Davini D, Bonioli E, Boccardo F. Diagnosis and management of primary chylous ascites. *J Vasc Surg* 2006; 43: 1244-1248
- Riquelme A, Calvo M, Salech F, Valderrama S, Pattillo A, Arellano M, Arrese M, Soza A, Viviani P, Letelier LM. Value of adenosine deaminase (ADA) in ascitic fluid for the diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; 40: 705-710
- Charalabopoulos K, Peschos D, Zoganas L, Bablekos G, Golias C, Charalabopoulos A, Stagikas

- D, Karakosta A, Papathanasopoulos A, Karachalios G, Batistatou A. Alterations in arterial blood parameters in patients with liver cirrhosis and ascites. *Int J Med Sci* 2007; 4: 94-97
- 13 Tanrikulu AC, Aldemir M, Gurkan F, Suner A, Dagli CE, Ece A. Clinical review of tuberculous peritonitis in 39 patients in Diyarbakir, Turkey. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005; 20: 906-909
- 14 Lopez Rodriguez R, Campos Franco J, Lado Lado FL, Alende Sixto MR, Gonzalez Quintela A. Tuberculous peritonitis: a Third World's disease?. *An Med Interna* 2004; 21: 331-333
- 15 Chow KM, Chow VC, Szeto CC. Indication for peritoneal biopsy in tuberculous peritonitis. *Am J Surg* 2003; 185: 567-573
- 16 Sotoudehmanesh R, Shirazian N, Asgari AA, Malekzadeh R. Tuberculous peritonitis in an endemic area. *Dig Liver Dis* 2003; 35: 37-40
- 17 Ahmed N, Riley C, Oliva K, Rice G, Quinn M. Ascites induces modulation of $\alpha\beta$ integrin and urokinase plasminogen activator receptor expression and associated functions in ovarian carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 2005; 92: 1475-1485
- 18 Kobayashi M, Sakamoto J, Namikawa T, Okamoto K, Okabayashi T, Ichikawa K, Araki K. Pharmacokinetic study of paclitaxel in malignant ascites from advanced gastric cancer patients. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; 12: 1412-1415
- 19 Lee YT, Ng EK, Hung LC, Chung SC, Ching JY, Chan WY, Chu WC, Sung JJ. Accuracy of endoscopic ultrasonography in diagnosing ascites and predicting peritoneal metastases in gastric cancer patients. *Gut* 2005; 54: 1541-1545
- 20 Yajima K, Kanda T, Ohashi M, Wakai T, Nakagawa S, Sasamoto R, Hatakeyama K. Clinical and diagnostic significance of preoperative computed tomography findings of ascites in patients with advanced gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 2006; 192: 185-190
- 21 Ozenci V, Miller AM, Palmborg A, Egevad L, Jaremko GA, Kalkner KM, Pisa P. Presence and specificity of tumor associated lymphocytes from ascites fluid in prostate cancer. *Prostate* 2005; 65: 20-26
- 22 Yamaguchi Y, Ohshita A, Kawabuchi Y, Hihara J, Miyahara E, Noma K, Toge T. Locoregional immunotherapy of malignant ascites from gastric cancer using DTH-oriented doses of the streptococcal preparation OK-432: Treatment of Th1 dysfunction in the ascites microenvironment. *Int J Oncol* 2004; 24: 959-966
- 23 Chi TH, Wan M, Zhao K, Taniuchi I, Chen L, Littman DR, Crabtree GR. Reciprocal regulation of CD4/CD8 expression by SWI/SNF-like BAF complexes. *Nature* 2002; 418: 195-199
- 24 Jing L, Chong TM, Byrd B, McClurkan CL, Huang J, Story BT, Dunkley KM, Aldaz-Carroll L, Eisenberg RJ, Cohen GH, Kwok WW, Sette A, Koelle DM. Dominance and diversity in the primary human CD4 T cell response to replication-competent vaccinia virus. *J Immunol* 2007; 178: 6374-6386
- 25 Wang Z, Davies JD. CD8 blockade promotes antigen responsiveness to nontolerizing antigen in tolerant mice by inhibiting apoptosis of CD4⁺ T cells. *J Immunol* 2007; 178: 6148-6157
- 26 Della Bella S, Crosignani A, Riva A, Presicce P, Benetti A, Longhi R, Podda M, Villa ML. Decrease and dysfunction of dendritic cells correlate with impaired hepatitis C virus-specific CD4⁺ T-cell proliferation in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *Immunology* 2007; 121: 283-292
- 27 Burgdorf S, Kautz A, Bohnert V, Knolle PA, Kurts C. Distinct pathways of antigen uptake and intracellular routing in CD4 and CD8 T cell activation. *Science* 2007; 316: 612-616
- 28 Dace DS, Chen PW, Niederkorn JY. CD8⁺ T cells circumvent immune privilege in the eye and mediate intraocular tumor rejection by a TNF- α -dependent mechanism. *J Immunol* 2007; 178: 6115-6122
- 29 Ludviksson BR, Seegers D, Resnick AS, Strober W. The effect of TGF- β 1 on immune responses of naive versus memory CD4⁺ Th1/Th2 T cells. *Eur J Immunol* 2000; 30: 2101-2111
- 30 Santori FR, Arsov I, Vukmanovic S. Modulation of CD8⁺ T cell response to antigen by the levels of self MHC class I. *J Immunol* 2001; 166: 5416-5421

电编 何基才 编辑 王晓瑜

ISSN 1009-3079 CN 14-1260/R 2007年版权归世界华人消化杂志

• 消息 •

世界华人消化杂志关于作者署名的声明

本刊讯 世界华人消化杂志要求所有署名人写清楚自己对文章的贡献。第一方面是直接参与, 包括: (1) 酝酿和设计实验; (2) 采集数据; (3) 分析/解释数据。第二方面是文章撰写, 包括: (1) 起草文章; (2) 对文章的知识性内容作批评性审阅。第三方面是工作支持, 包括: (1) 统计分析; (2) 获取研究经费; (3) 行政、技术或材料支持; (4) 指导; (5) 支持性贡献。每个人必须在第一至第三方面至少具备一条, 才能成为文章的署名作者。世界华人消化杂志不设置共同第一作者和共同通信作者。