

中重型颅脑损伤患者继发应激性溃疡机制和防治策略研究进展

成杰, 蔡丽颖, 唐启群

成杰, 蔡丽颖, 华北理工大学附属医院神经外科 河北省唐山市 063000

唐启群, 华北理工大学 河北省唐山市 063210

成杰, 教授, 主任护师, 主要从事神经重症临床护理、护理管理等研究。

作者贡献分布: 本文的撰写由成杰完成; 蔡丽颖协助文献搜集整理; 唐启群指导修改。

通讯作者: 唐启群, 教授, 硕士生导师, 063210, 河北省唐山市曹妃甸新城渤海大道21号, 华北理工大学. jwctang2008@163.com

收稿日期: 2023-12-04

修回日期: 2023-12-20

接受日期: 2024-01-11

在线出版日期: 2024-02-28

Pathogenic mechanism and preventive and therapeutic strategies for secondary stress ulcers in patients with moderate to severe traumatic brain injury

Jie Cheng, Li-Ying Cai, Qi-Qun Tang

Jie Cheng, Li-Ying Cai, Department of Neurosurgery, Affiliated Hospital of North China University of Technology, Tangshan 063000, Hebei Province, China

Qi-Qun Tang, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan 063210, Hebei Province, China

Corresponding author: Qi-Qun Tang, Professor, Master Supervisor, North China University of Science and Technology, No. 21 Bohai Avenue, Caofeidian New City, Tangshan 063210, Hebei Province, China. jwctang2008@163.com

Received: 2023-12-04

Revised: 2023-12-20

Accepted: 2024-01-11

Published online: 2024-02-28

Abstract

Moderate to severe traumatic brain injury is a complex and critical clinical disease, which is prone to secondary stress ulcers (SU). The pathogenic mechanism of secondary stress ulcers in moderate to severe traumatic brain injury is complex and often related to increased intracranial pressure, excitation of the vagus nerve, increased secretion of catecholamines, decreased gastric mucosal perfusion, and H⁺ reverse osmosis. While treating traumatic brain injury, actively preventing stress ulcers is the key to improving patient prognosis. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and histamine receptor 2 antagonists (H₂RAs) are widely used in the prevention and treatment of stress ulcers, but their preventive effects and drug side effects are still controversial. The occurrence of stress ulcers is a result of multiple factors. Previous research has provided certain reference value for clinical practice, but it is difficult to accurately guide the scientific prevention and treatment of stress ulcers in clinical practice. In the future, evidence-based medicine should be used to develop guidelines for the prevention and treatment of stress ulcers, clarify the timing of intervention, define indications for preventive medication, and formulate standardized treatment.

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Key Words: Traumatic brain injury; Stress ulcer; Pathogenesis; Preventive and therapeutic strategies

Citation: Cheng J, Cai LY, Tang QQ. Pathogenic mechanism and preventive and therapeutic strategies for secondary stress ulcers in patients with moderate to severe traumatic brain injury. Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi 2024; 32(2): 97-101

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1009-3079/full/v32/i2/97.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.11569/wcjd.v32.i2.97>

摘要

中重型颅脑损伤是复杂的危重临床疾病, 容易继发应激性溃疡(stress ulcer, SU)值得关注。中重型颅脑损伤继发应激性溃疡的机制复杂, 多与颅内压增高, 迷走神经兴奋, 儿茶酚胺分泌增多, 胃黏膜灌注减少, H⁺逆向渗透有关。治疗颅脑损伤的同时, 积极预防应激性溃疡是改善患者预后的关键。质子泵抑制剂(proton pump inhibitor, PPI)、组胺受体拮抗剂(histamine H₂ receptor antagonists, H₂RA)被广泛应用于应激性溃疡的预防和治疗, 但是其预防效果和药物副作用方面还存在较大争议。应激性溃疡的发生涉及多种因素的综合作用, 既往研究为临床提供了一定的参考价值, 但是很难精准地指导临床应激性溃疡的科学防治, 未来应依据大量循证医学证据制定应激性溃疡防治指南, 明确干预时机, 预防性用药适应症, 形成规范化治疗。

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

关键词: 颅脑损伤; 应激性溃疡; 发病机制; 防治策略

核心提要: 颅脑损伤后发生应激性溃疡是由于神经体液调节与内分泌失衡多种因素综合作用。胃黏膜屏障破坏是颅脑损伤后应激性溃疡病变形成的基础, 胃酸分泌增多和胃蛋白酶的侵袭作用促进病变发展。颅脑损伤后应激性溃疡的预防, 应在积极治疗原发颅脑损伤的基础上合理使用质子泵抑制剂、组胺受体拮抗剂和胃黏膜保护剂, 减少胃黏膜病变的发生。

文献来源: 成杰, 蔡丽颖, 唐启群. 中重型颅脑损伤患者继发应激性溃疡机制和防治策略研究进展. 世界华人消化杂志 2024; 32(2): 97–101

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1009-3079/full/v32/i2/97.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.11569/wcjd.v32.i2.97>

0 引言

中重型颅脑损伤是常见的急重性神经外科疾病, 多由高处坠落、车祸和跌倒等意外导致, 病情复杂进展较快, 具有较高病死率严重危害患者生命安全^[1]。应激性溃疡在1969年危重患者尸检时被首次发现^[2], 现依据发病严重程度和临床表现将SU分为三类, 发病率分别是15%-50%、1.5%-8.5%和1%-3%^[3](表1)。应激性溃疡是中重型颅脑损伤患者的常见并发症之一, 导致原有疾病加重及恶化, 病死率增加。因此, 通过分析总结中重型颅脑损伤继发应激性溃疡的发病机制和防治策略, 以促进应激性溃疡的规范化治疗。

1 应激性溃疡机制及危险因素

颅内高压是中重型颅脑损伤患者常见的症状, 它损害了脑干和下丘脑的正常生理功能, 干扰自主神经系统功能,

导致其功能发生紊乱, 主要表现为交感神经系统激活同时迷走神经兴奋性增加, 导致儿茶酚胺等神经递质的释放增加和胃酸分泌增多^[4,5]。儿茶酚胺会引发血管收缩, 血容量减少, 从而使得胃黏膜的供血不足, 导致其缺血和缺氧^[6-8]。颅内压增高还会通过下丘脑-垂体-肾上腺皮质轴作用于肾上腺皮质, 促使肾上腺皮质激素大量产生, 在促进胃酸分泌的同时抑制胃黏液、前列腺素分泌以及上皮细胞增生^[9]。以上因素会共同作用于胃黏膜, 使胃黏膜的保护因素减弱, 损害因子增强, 最终引起胃黏膜糜烂、溃疡、出血等严重后果。

颅脑损伤后急性期多有不同程度的胃肠麻痹, 胃肠蠕动减弱甚至消失, 易发生胆汁反流, 造成胃黏膜损伤, 破坏胃黏膜屏障^[10]。颅脑损伤后机体处于高能消耗状态, 蛋白分解加速, 营养供应不足。胃黏膜中三磷酸腺苷(adenosine triphosphate, ATP)及其他高能磷酸键含量下降, 是胃黏膜损伤的重要原因。因ATP合成减少, 氧化磷酸化速度减慢而减少了胃黏膜的糖原贮备, 使其对缺血缺氧更为敏感, 而使胃黏膜上皮细胞修复、更新及黏膜分泌功能紊乱, 诱发胃黏膜细胞坏死溃疡形成, 致胃黏膜出血。黏膜屏障破坏, 使胃酸及胃蛋白酶的刺激增强, 致胃黏膜防御功能减退。黏膜损伤缺血、细胞肿胀、坏死脱落加快, 使细胞之间的紧密连接和完整性遭到破坏, H⁺大量逆向渗入。而胃黏膜表面的上皮细胞因缺氧而坏死, 中和酸的能力下降, 中和反流H⁺的能力也下降, 而使H⁺在组织内蓄积, pH值下降导致由于胃黏膜酸化, 极易形成溃疡^[11]。

应激性溃疡的发病机制比较复杂, 没有办法准确预测其是否会发生, 通过荟萃分析发现, 可能导致应激性溃疡的危险因素包括大脑受伤部位、格拉斯哥评分、机械通气、凝血功能障碍、低血压、肝肾衰竭、肠内营养、糖皮质激素的应用、年龄、糖尿病史、饮酒史、消化道溃疡病史等^[12-16]。由于不同研究的纳入标准和治疗方案不同, 研究结果不能完全相互验证。目前公认危险因素包括: 正压通气>48 h(包括体外生命支持); 凝血功能异常(血小板计数<50×10⁹/L, 国际标准化比率>1.5, 激活部分凝血酶时间>2倍正常值); 既往胃肠溃疡或出血。在一项包含400例颅脑损伤患者的研究[发生组(n=50)与未发生组(n=350)]结果显示: 机械通气时间>48 h患者术后发生应激性溃疡出血的风险是机械通气时间≤48 h患者的23.531倍; 使用抗凝剂或抗血小板聚集药物患者术后发生应激性溃疡出血的风险是未使用抗凝剂或抗血小板聚集药物患者的31.293倍; 消化道溃疡或出血史患者术后发生应激性溃疡出血的风险是无消化道溃疡或出血史患者的36.543倍^[17]。

此外一些研究表明血液指标的异常也提示了应激

表 1 危重症患者应激性溃疡的分类

类型	临床表现	发病率
应激性溃疡伴隐匿性出血	粪便样本隐血阳性	15%–50%
应激性溃疡伴明显胃肠道出血	呕血, 鼻胃管血吸出, 或黑便	1.5%–8.5%
应激性溃疡伴有严重的胃肠道出血	明显的胃肠出血并且24 h内: 收缩压降低(>20 mmHg); 直立性低血压或姿势性心 动过速(脉率增加 ≥ 20 次/min); 血红蛋白下降 ≥ 2 g/分升; 需要输血(≥ 2 单位红细 胞); 需要血管升压剂或侵入性干预(如内窥镜)	1%–3%

性溃疡发生的可能。炎症细胞因子是促进应激性溃疡发生的重要因素。中重型颅脑损伤患者，往往并发呼吸道和泌尿系统感染，进而导致脓毒症。在一项研究中，重症患者脓毒症的发生率为61.4%，脓毒症引发炎症细胞因子的大量释放，加重了脑损伤引起的胃肠运动障碍和胃肠黏膜缺血，从而促进应激性溃疡的发生^[18]。肿瘤坏死因子-α(tumor necrosis factor-α, TNF-α)是一种免疫功能因子，分泌异常升高可能会损害胃黏膜。Wang等^[19,20]研究发现合并应激性溃疡患者的TNF-α含量显著升高。TNF-α的升高导致胃肠道黏膜和内皮细胞的损伤，从而加重溃疡。还有研究指出观察组应激性溃疡患者热休克蛋白70(heat shock protein 70, HSP70)和热休克蛋白90(heat shock protein 90, HSP90)是对照组患者的2倍，血清HSP70、HSP90水平可作为判断重症脑出血病人是否发生应激性溃疡的重要指标^[21]。最新研究显示监测胃液pH值对应激性溃疡有早期预测作用^[22]。临床医生充分了解应激性溃疡发病机制，及时识别发病危险因素有助于制定个体化精准治疗方案。

2 应激性溃疡的防治

目前还没有关于神经危重症患者应激性溃疡的防治指南。根据《中国神经外科重症管理专家共识》(2020)，神经外科重症监护中胃肠道溃疡预防管理建议使用质子泵抑制剂(proton pump inhibitor, PPI)(证据级别1级，推荐A级)、组胺受体拮抗剂(histamine H₂ receptor antagonists, H₂RA)(证据级别1级，推荐A级)和胃黏膜保护剂(证据级别1级，推荐A级)^[23]。东部创伤外科协会实践管理指南委员会也建议，所有存在相关危险因素的危重症患者都应接受应激性溃疡的预防性治疗^[24,25]。

通常预防应激性溃疡的预防在中重型颅脑损伤患者入院初就开始实施，现有的应激性溃疡共识将PPI和H₂RA作为高风险人群的标准治疗措施^[26-29]。然而，一些研究结果对应激性溃疡预防的有效性提出了质疑。2017年的一项随机对照试验和荟萃分析评估了使用泮托拉唑预防应激性溃疡的有效性，发现泮托拉唑用于预防应激性溃疡时，上消化道出血和死亡率没有显著下降^[30]。

2018年欧洲一项多中心研究招募了来自丹麦、芬兰、荷兰、挪威、瑞士和英国的33个重症监护病房的3298名患者。采用盲法、随机对照试验评估了重症监护病房中溃疡高风险患者，对比了泮托拉唑与安慰剂对应激性溃疡的预防效果，结果显示，在接受泮托拉唑预防性治疗的患者中，2.5%的患者发生了应激性溃疡，而接受安慰剂的患者发病率为4.2%，差异不存在统计学意义^[31]。

应激性溃疡的治疗常规使用PPI或H₂RA，抑制胃酸分泌。当处于禁食状态时，胃酸在5-11时分泌率较低，18时至次日凌晨1时分泌较高。PPI的半衰期约为60-90 min，而其抑制胃酸的作用可持续较长时间^[32]。有研究表明pH>4时，将有助于血小板聚集和血凝块稳定，从而降低再出血的风险^[33,34]。考虑到PPI的药代动力学和胃酸分泌的日变化，每天至少需要给药两次。但是长期使用PPI与骨折、低镁血症、艰难梭菌感染、急性肾损伤和慢性肾脏疾病的发生有潜在的相关性。在一项包括18项观察性研究(共244109例骨折病例)的荟萃分析中，Zhou等^[35]得出结论，使用PPI可导致发生骨折的风险增高1.33倍。PPI还可能导致低镁血症，严重时可导致心律失常、癫痫发作、肌肉无力和低血压，根据对9项观察性研究(包括109798例患者)的荟萃分析质子泵使用组发生低血镁的风险是非使用组的1.43倍^[36]。除此之外，使用PPI的患者感染艰难梭菌的风险更高。Kwok等^[37]对39项观察性研究进行了荟萃分析，结果显示，使用PPI的患者发生艰难梭菌感染的风险是未使用患者的1.74倍，并且其再次感染艰难梭菌的风险更高。研究表明，PPI在临床明显消化道出血预防方面比H₂RA效果更佳，此外，在接受神经危重症治疗的患者中，H₂RA可能与抗惊厥药物存在药物相互作用从而加重原发病^[28,38,39]。

由于研究人员对应激性溃疡预防持有不同观点，目前尚未形成该疾病预防和治疗的标准指南，导致临床医生对药物有效性和安全性缺乏充分认识的情况下进行过度防治^[40,41]。虽然预防性用药可以有效降低应激性溃疡的发生率，但是更应该避免过度预防，充分认识药物之间的潜在相互作用。制定合理的防治策略改善神经危重症患者应激性溃疡的治疗现状，形成标准化防治模式。

3 结论

综上所述, 导致应激性溃疡的危险因素繁多但不明确, 为临床提供了一定的参考价值, 但是很难精准地指导临床应激性溃疡的科学防治, 目前约80%以上的患者预防性使用PPI或者H2RA。抑酸药的使用可增加医院获得性肺炎、肠道菌群失调、艰难梭菌相关性腹泻等并发症的发生。由此可见准确评估溃疡风险合理使用抑酸药物非常重要。我们应该依靠循证医学, 依托于多中心大样本量的研究, 分析应激性溃疡发生风险, 将风险因素赋予不同权重, 制定评估溃疡发生风险临界指标。依据评估结果精准防治, 科学用药, 形成中重型颅脑损伤患者防治应激性溃疡的规范化治疗。

4 参考文献

- 1 de Cássia Almeida Vieira R, Silveira JCP, Paiva WS, de Oliveira DV, de Souza CPE, Santana-Santos E, de Sousa RMC. Prognostic Models in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Neurocrit Care* 2022; 37: 790-805 [PMID: 35941405 DOI: 10.1007/s12028-022-01547-j]
- 2 Ray A, Gulati K, Henke P. Stress Gastric Ulcers and Cytoprotective Strategies: Perspectives and Trends. *Curr Pharm Des* 2020; 26: 2982-2990 [PMID: 32436823 DOI: 10.2174/138161286666200521143203]
- 3 Saeed M, Bass S, Chaisson NF. Which ICU patients need stress ulcer prophylaxis? *Cleve Clin J Med* 2022; 89: 363-367 [PMID: 35777844 DOI: 10.3949/ccjm.89a.21085]
- 4 Du Y, Demillard LJ, Ren J. Catecholamine-induced cardiotoxicity: A critical element in the pathophysiology of stroke-induced heart injury. *Life Sci* 2021; 287: 120106 [PMID: 34756930 DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2021.120106]
- 5 Takemoto Y, Hasegawa Y, Hayashi K, Cao C, Hamasaki T, Kawano T, Mukasa A, Kim-Mitsuyama S. The Stabilization of Central Sympathetic Nerve Activation by Renal Denervation Prevents Cerebral Vasospasm after Subarachnoid Hemorrhage in Rats. *Transl Stroke Res* 2020; 11: 528-540 [PMID: 31749137 DOI: 10.1007/s12975-019-00740-9]
- 6 Kaye DM, Shihata WA, Jama HA, Tsyanov K, Zieman M, Kiriazis H, Horlock D, Vijay A, Giam B, Vinh A, Johnson C, Fiedler A, Donner D, Snelson M, Coughlan MT, Phillips S, Du XJ, El-Osta A, Drummond G, Lambert GW, Spector TD, Valdes AM, Mackay CR, Marques FZ. Deficiency of Prebiotic Fiber and Insufficient Signaling Through Gut Metabolite-Sensing Receptors Leads to Cardiovascular Disease. *Circulation* 2020; 141: 1393-1403 [PMID: 32093510 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.043081]
- 7 Grove JCR, Gray LA, La Santa Medina N, Sivakumar N, Ahn JS, Corpuz TV, Berke JD, Kreitzer AC, Knight ZA. Dopamine subsystems that track internal states. *Nature* 2022; 608: 374-380 [PMID: 35831501 DOI: 10.1038/s41586-022-04954-0]
- 8 Chai CZ, Ho UC, Kuo LT. Systemic Inflammation after Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *Int J Mol Sci* 2023; 24 [PMID: 37446118 DOI: 10.3390/ijms241310943]
- 9 Gezalian MM, Mangiacotti L, Rajput P, Sparrow N, Schlick K, Lahiri S. Cerebrovascular and neurological perspectives on adrenoceptor and calcium channel modulating pharmacotherapies. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2021; 41: 693-706 [PMID: 33210576 DOI: 10.1177/0271678X20972869]
- 10 Jin W, Guo X, Li Q, Luo X, Zhong J, Song Y, Hou W, Guo Y, Li Y, Luo J. Potential preventive and therapeutic effect of Chinese herb rhubarb (da huang) for intensive care unit/pediatric intensive care unit gastrointestinal failure patients: A protocol for systematic review. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2020; 99: e20188 [PMID: 32443339 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000020188]
- 11 Engevik AC, Kaji I, Goldenring JR. The Physiology of the Gastric Parietal Cell. *Physiol Rev* 2020; 100: 573-602 [PMID: 31670611 DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00016.2019]
- 12 Huang M, Kuang L, Han M, Han W. [Efficacy and safety of stress ulcer prophylaxis in septic patients: a retrospective cohort study based on large database]. *Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue* 2021; 33: 641-647 [PMID: 34296679 DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.cn121430-20210402-00504]
- 13 Law LS, Lo EA, Yeoh SF. Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis for ICU Patients. *JAMA* 2020; 324: 101-102 [PMID: 32633796 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.6759]
- 14 Huang M, Han M, Song Z, Kuang L. Stress ulcer prophylaxis in critically ill adult patients with sepsis at risk of gastrointestinal bleeding: a retrospective cohort study. *Intern Med J* 2023; 53: 389-396 [PMID: 34697866 DOI: 10.1111/imj.15598]
- 15 Ohbe H, Morita K, Matsui H, Fushimi K, Yasunaga H. Stress ulcer prophylaxis plus enteral nutrition versus enteral nutrition alone in critically ill patients at risk for gastrointestinal bleeding: a propensity-matched analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2020; 46: 1948-1949 [PMID: 32514595 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06136-w]
- 16 姚慧峰. 160例消化性溃疡合并上消化道出血的危险因素及治疗分析. 世界华人消化杂志 2018; 26: 1605-1611 [DOI: 10.11569/wcjd.v26.i27.1605]
- 17 曹娅妮, 伍玉玲, 关沛. 颅脑损伤患者术后应激性溃疡出血发生情况及相关因素调查. 中国急救复苏与灾害医学杂志 2023; 18: 1176-1179+1236
- 18 Yang TC, Li JG, Shi HM, Yu DM, Shan K, Li LX, Dong XY, Ren TH. Gastrointestinal bleeding after intracerebral hemorrhage: a retrospective review of 808 cases. *Am J Med Sci* 2013; 346: 279-282 [PMID: 23221511 DOI: 10.1097/MAJ.0b013e318271a621]
- 19 Wang X, Shi N, Shi H, Ye H, Li N, Sun P, Bai D, Yuan H. Correlations of Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage Complicated with Stress Ulcer Bleeding with Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II Score, Endothelin (ET), Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF- α), and Blood Lipids. *Med Sci Monit* 2018; 24: 9120-9126 [PMID: 30554231 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.911915]
- 20 Zhang X, Shi G, Sun Y, Wu X, Zhao Y. Triterpenes derived from hydrolyzate of total Gynostemma pentaphyllum saponins with anti-hepatic fibrosis and protective activity against H(2)O(2)-induced injury. *Phytochemistry* 2017; 144: 226-232 [PMID: 28985570 DOI: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2017.09.021]
- 21 范路梅, 魏红, 谢小红. 重症脑出血病人血清HSP70、HSP90水平与应激性溃疡的关系. 中西医结合心脑血管病杂志 2020; 18: 2328-2331
- 22 Chen D, Hagen SJ, Boyce M, Zhao CM. Neuroendocrine mechanism of gastric acid secretion: Historical perspectives and recent developments in physiology and pharmacology. *J Neuroendocrinol* 2023; 35: e13305 [PMID: 37317882 DOI: 10.1111/jne.13305]
- 23 中华医学会神经外科学分会; 中国神经外科重症管理协作组. 中国神经外科重症管理专家共识(2020版). 中华医学杂志 2020; 100: 1443-1458 [DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.cn12137-20200318-00818]
- 24 Ye Z, Reintam Blaser A, Lytvyn L, Wang Y, Guyatt GH, Mikita JS, Roberts J, Agoritsas T, Bertschy S, Boroli F, Camsooksai J, Du B, Heen AF, Lu J, Mella JM, Vandvik PO, Wise R, Zheng Y, Liu L, Siemieniuk RAC. Gastrointestinal bleeding prophylaxis for critically ill patients: a clinical practice guideline. *BMJ* 2020; 368: l6722 [PMID: 31907223 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.l6722]
- 25 Wang Y, Ye Z, Ge L, Siemieniuk RAC, Wang X, Wang Y, Hou L, Ma Z, Agoritsas T, Vandvik PO, Perner A, Möller MH, Guyatt GH, Liu L. Efficacy and safety of gastrointestinal bleeding prophylaxis in critically ill patients: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2020; 368: l6744 [PMID: 31907166 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.l6744]
- 26 Rice TW, Kripalani S, Lindsell CJ. Proton Pump Inhibitors vs

- Histamine-2 Receptor Blockers for Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis in Critically Ill Patients: Issues of Interpretability in Pragmatic Trials. *JAMA* 2020; 323: 611-613 [PMID: 31950973 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2019.22436]
- 27 Barbateskovic M, Marker S, Granholm A, Anthon CT, Krag M, Jakobsen JC, Perner A, Wetterslev J, Møller MH. Stress ulcer prophylaxis with proton pump inhibitors or histamin-2 receptor antagonists in adult intensive care patients: a systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2019; 45: 143-158 [PMID: 30680444 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-019-05526-z]
- 28 Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, Sevransky JE, Sprung CL, Douglas IS, Jaeschke R, Osborn TM, Nunnally ME, Townsend SR, Reinhart K, Kleinpell RM, Angus DC, Deutschman CS, Machado FR, Rubenfeld GD, Webb S, Beale RJ, Vincent JL, Moreno R; Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines Committee including The Pediatric Subgroup. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. *Intensive Care Med* 2013; 39: 165-228 [PMID: 23361625 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-012-2769-8]
- 29 Boyd C, Hassig T, MacLaren R. A pragmatic assessment of proton pump inhibitors vs. histamine type 2 receptor antagonists on clinically important gastrointestinal bleeding and mortality when used for stress ulcer prophylaxis in the ICU. *Pharmacotherapy* 2021; 41: 820-827 [PMID: 34478588 DOI: 10.1002/phar.2621]
- 30 Alhazzani W, Guyatt G, Alshahrani M, Deane AM, Marshall JC, Hall R, Muscedere J, English SW, Lauzier F, Thabane L, Arabi YM, Karachi T, Rochwerg B, Finfer S, Daneman N, Alshamsi F, Zytaruk N, Heel-Ansdell D, Cook D; Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. Withholding Pantoprazole for Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis in Critically Ill Patients: A Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial and Meta-Analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2017; 45: 1121-1129 [PMID: 28459708 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000002461]
- 31 Krag M, Marker S, Perner A, Wetterslev J, Wise MP, Schefold JC, Keus F, Guttormsen AB, Bendel S, Borthwick M, Lange T, Rasmussen BS, Siegemund M, Bundgaard H, Elkmann T, Jensen JV, Nielsen RD, Liboriussen L, Beste MH, Elkjaer JM, Palmqvist DF, Bäcklund M, Laake JH, Bådstøløkken PM, Grønlund J, Breum O, Walli A, Winding R, Iversen S, Jamvig IL, White JO, Brand B, Madsen MB, Quist L, Thorberg KJ, Møller A, Wiis J, Granholm A, Anthon CT, Meyhoff TS, Hjortrup PB, Aagaard SR, Andreasen JB, Sørensen CA, Haure P, Hauge J, Hollinger A, Scheuzger J, Tuchscherer D, Vuillomenet T, Takala J, Jakob SM, Vang ML, Pælestik KB, Andersen KLD, van der Horst ICC, Dieperink W, Fjølner J, Kjer CKW, Sølling C, Sølling CG, Karttunen J, Morgan MPG, Sjøbø B, Engstrøm J, Agerholm-Larsen B, Møller MH; SUP-ICU trial group. Pantoprazole in Patients at Risk for Gastrointestinal Bleeding in the ICU. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 379: 2199-2208 [PMID: 30354950 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1714919]
- 32 Shin JM, Sachs G. Pharmacology of proton pump inhibitors. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2008; 10: 528-534 [DOI: 10.1007/s11894-008-0098-4]
- 33 Green FW Jr, Kaplan MM, Curtis LE, Levine PH. Effect of acid and pepsin on blood coagulation and platelet aggregation. A possible contributor prolonged gastroduodenal mucosal hemorrhage. *Gastroenterology* 1978; 74: 38-43 [PMID: 21830]
- 34 Patchett SE, Enright H, Afshai N, O'Connell W, O'Donoghue DP. Clot lysis by gastric juice: an in vitro study. *Gut* 1989; 30: 1704-1707 [PMID: 2612985 DOI: 10.1136/gut.30.12.1704]
- 35 Zhou B, Huang Y, Li H, Sun W, Liu J. Proton-pump inhibitors and risk of fractures: an update meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int* 2016; 27: 339-347 [PMID: 26462494 DOI: 10.1007/s00198-015-3365-x]
- 36 Cheungpasitporn W, Thongprayoon C, Kittanamongkolchai W, Srivali N, Edmonds PJ, Ungprasert P, O'Corragain OA, Korpaisarn S, Erickson SB. Proton pump inhibitors linked to hypomagnesemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Ren Fail* 2015; 37: 1237-1241 [PMID: 26108134 DOI: 10.3109/0886022X.2015.1057800]
- 37 Kwok CS, Arthur AK, Anibueze CI, Singh S, Cavallazzi R, Loke YK. Risk of Clostridium difficile infection with acid suppressing drugs and antibiotics: meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012; 107: 1011-1019 [PMID: 22525304 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.108]
- 38 Perry IE, Sonu I, Scarpignato C, Akiyama J, Hongo M, Vega KJ. Potential proton pump inhibitor-related adverse effects. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2020; 1481: 43-58 [PMID: 32761834 DOI: 10.1111/nyas.14428]
- 39 Clarke K, Adler N, Agrawal D, Bhakta D, Sata SS, Singh S, Gupta A, Pahwa A, Pherson E, Sun A, Volpicelli F, Cho HJ. Indications for the Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors for Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis and Peptic Ulcer Bleeding in Hospitalized Patients. *Am J Med* 2022; 135: 313-317 [PMID: 34655535 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2021.09.010]
- 40 Orelia CC, Heus P, Kroese-van Dieren JJ, Spijker R, van Munster BC, Hooft L. Reducing Inappropriate Proton Pump Inhibitors Use for Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis in Hospitalized Patients: Systematic Review of De-Implementation Studies. *J Gen Intern Med* 2021; 36: 2065-2073 [PMID: 33532958 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-020-06425-6]
- 41 Dharmarajan TS. The Use and Misuse of Proton Pump Inhibitors: An Opportunity for Deprescribing. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2021; 22: 15-22 [PMID: 33321078 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamda.2020.09.046]

科学编辑: 张砚梁 制作编辑: 张砚梁





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton,
CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjnet.com
<https://www.wjnet.com>



ISSN 1009-3079



9 771009 307056